



Impact of Ultraviolet radiation and 1-methylcyclopropane treatments on maintain quality and storability of Iceberg lettuce head during cold storage.

Mohamed A. A. Abdullah and Safaa Zakaria

Postharvest and Handling of Vegetable Crops Dept., Horticulture Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out on head Lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L. cv Iceberg) during two seasons, in 2020 and 2021, to study the effect of exposed head lettuce to ultraviolet radiation (UV-C) doses (200 and 250 nm for 5 min) and 1-Methylcyclopropene sheet (1-MCP) 1.5 and 5% alone and combination between them beside untreated control on maintaining quality and storability of lettuce heads during storage at 0°C and 95% relative humidity for 20 days. Results showed that all postharvest treatments-maintained head lettuce quality compared to untreated control during storage; however, exposed head lettuce to ultraviolet radiation 250 nm + 5% 1-Methylcyclopropene for 5 minutes and 200 nm + 5% 1-Methylcyclopropene for 5 minutes, were the most effective in reducing the weight loss percentage, decay score and total microbial count and incidence of discoloration. Also, maintained general appearance, ascorbic acid and total phenolic compound content. Furthermore, delaying polyphenol oxidase activity compared with other treatments.

Lettuce heads treated with ultraviolet radiation 250 nm + 5% 1-Methylcyclopropene showed the best appearance and maintaining postharvest quality and didn't exhibit any changes in their appearance and gave excellent appearance at the end of storage period (20 days at 0 °C) while 200 nm + 5% 1-Methylcyclopropene treatment gave good appearance at the same period.

Key words: Ultraviolet - Polyphenol oxidase - Total microbial count – head lettuce-postharvest.

INTRODUCTION

Iceberg lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) is one of the most highly favorable fresh vegetables, being a traditional ingredient in salad vegetable in many countries, because of the increased consumption of the fast food and prepared salads. Edible quality both sensorial and nutritional of iceberg lettuce declines rapidly after harvest and considerable losses may occur during storage wilting and discoloration (Wills et al., 2002 and Chandra et al., 2010).

Major problems of leaves and cut stem lettuce during storage are highly susceptible to enzymatic browning, thereby causing economic loss to the producer and generally results in loss of nutritional, functional and organoleptic qualities and reduces their marketability, increase in respiration rates, biochemical changes and microbial spoilage, which

often result in degradation in color, texture and flavor of the produce (Martin-Diana et al., 2005). Lettuce is highly susceptible to enzymatic browning. Enzymatic browning (EB) does not occur in intact plant cells since phenolic compounds in cell vacuoles are separated from the PPO which is present in the cytoplasm. Once tissue is damaged by slicing, cutting or pulping, however, the formation of brown pigments occurs. The main step in enzymatic browning is the oxidation of phenolic compounds by PPO in the presence of oxygen (Karrayan and Aydemir, 2001). Therefore, it is very important to prevent these reactions. Some postharvest treatments can be used for maintaining quality and inhibiting browning control in head lettuce such as ultraviolet (UV-C) and 1-Methylcyclopropene (1-MCP).

Effective ultraviolet (UV) irradiation has been used as a postharvest technology to reduce decay, delay ripening, and delay senescence in crop products, Ultraviolet irradiation in postharvest as a nonchemical, innovative light treatment is a promising illumination to extend the shelf life and improve the nutritional quality of vegetables. In general, there are many results using UV-C. (Sonntage et al., 2023). The application of ultraviolet light (UV-C 200-280 nm) on fruits and vegetables to stimulate beneficial responses is a new method for o delay fungal growth and senescence (softening, color change) and extending the shelf-life of fruits and vegetables (Mercier et al., 2000 and El-Ghaouth et al., 2003).

UV-C treatment effectively retarded the occurrence of surface green fading and browning, thus, maintaining a good visual appearance in fresh-cut stem lettuce. Furthermore, the degradation of chlorophyll, loss of ascorbic acid and accumulation of phenolic compounds were restrained with the application of UV-C. According to the changes in browning-related enzymes activities, UV-C treatment did not show a distinct effect on activities of PPO and POD, but pronouncedly inhibited the activity of PAL. Based on this, the inhibited tissue browning upon application of UV-C should be mainly attributed to suppressed PAL activity. These findings suggested that UV-C treatment has a potential practical application in preserving fresh-cut stem lettuce (Han et al., 2021). In addition, the application of UV-C radiation has been reported to inhibit tissue browning in several fruits and vegetables. The advantages of UV radiation include that it does not leave a residue, does not change sensory characteristics, and does not involve high cost (Wang et al., 2019).

1-Methylcyclopropene (1-MCP) has been used to inhibit the action of ethylene and extend the storability and quality of lettuce, owing to the delayed development of browning on cut surfaces (Wills et al., 2002). The mode of action of 1-MCP in inhibiting browning on the cut lettuce surface is probably due to blocks ethylene binding to its receptor, as ethylene increases the activity of polyphenol oxidase and phenylalanine ammonialyase, which are key enzymes in the induction of surface browning in fruits and vegetables.

Browning of the lettuce leaves was significantly inhibited by the storage at low temperature and by treatment with 1-MCP. The biochemical analysis further indicated that the reduction of soluble protein and sugar, decrease in activity of polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and peroxidase (POD) and accumulation of free amino acids in the lettuce leaves during storage could be remarkably prevented by low temperature, treatment with 1-MCP. Our result suggested that 1-MCP treatment would provide a potential way for controlling quality of the lettuce under suboptimal postharvest temperature conditions, they suggested PPO and POD may also play important roles in the developing russet spot on iceberg lettuce leaves during storage. Our study showed that both PPO and POD activity in the lettuce leaves were suppressed by 1-MCP. These changes were negatively correlated ($P < 0.05$) to the development of russet spot in the heads treated by 1-MCP. It has been found that reducing the ethylene level can delay leaf browning of lettuce stored at 20 °C or 0 °C (Tian et al., 2011).

The aim of this study is to evaluate some postharvest treatments on keeping postharvest quality of head lettuce. And to determine the most effective treatment in the prevention of lettuce heads deterioration during cold storage.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Heads of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L. cv Iceberg) were harvested at the proper stage

of maturity from private farm Giza gov., Egypt, in 2020 and 2021 seasons.

Uncompact and non-uniform heads were eliminated and only healthy, undamaged darker green outer leaves, symmetrical in shape, compact and firm were chosen good ones were transported immediately to the Great foods company, remove the dry and damage outer leaves and the stem of head lettuce were cut by a sharp knife (1cm in length), exposed some heads to two levels for ultraviolet radiation doses (200 and 250 nm for 5 min). Then, after that all heads either exposed to UV radiation or non-exposed transported immediately to the laboratory of Postharvest and Handling of Vegetable Crops Department, ARC, Giza, Egypt. three Lettuce heads were placed in carton both boxes (30×20×15cm), each box represented as one experimented unit (EU) randomly distributed into nine group 1-MCP (sheet) contained 1.5% and 5%, exposed head to UV 200 and 250 nm, exposed head to UV 200 nm plus 1.5% 1-MCP, Exposed head to UV 200 nm plus 5% 1-MCP, Exposed head to UV 250 nm plus 1.5% 1-MCP, Exposed head to UV 250 nm plus 5% 1-MCP and untreated control. Eighteen EU from each treatment were prepared and stored at 0°C and 95% RH for 20 days. The treatments were arranged in a completely randomized design with three replicates.

Measurements were recorded immediately after harvest and every 4 day's intervals to determine the following parameters:

1. Weight loss percentage: Lettuce heads was estimated according to the following equation: $\text{Weight loss\%} = \frac{(\text{Initial weight} - \text{weight of fruits at sampling date})}{\text{Initial weight of fruits}} \times 100$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Weight loss:

Data in **Table (1)** showed that when the storage period was increased, the weight loss % increased noticeably. The Increased

2. Decay score: it was determined as score system of 1= none, 2= slight, 3= moderate, 4= moderately severe, 5= severe. This depends on the decay percentage of fruits (Jimenez et al., 1998).

3. General appearance score: was evaluated using a scale from (1 to 9) where 9 = excellent, 7 = good, 5 = fair and 3= poor, heads rating (5) or below was considered unmarketable the general appearance assessment includes symptoms of deterioration (leaf dryness, leaf wilt and yellowing, browning in the cut stem surface and decay).

4. Discoloration score: Discoloration was evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 = none, 2 = slight, 3 = moderate, 4 = severe and 5 = extra sever

5. Ascorbic acid content (mg/100g fruit fresh weight): was determined using 2, 6-dichloro-phenol indophenols method (A.O.A.C., 2000).

6. Total microbial count: was measured by Anese and Nicoli, 1997).

7. Polyphenol oxidase activity percentage (PPO %) assays: PPO was extracted by (Dogan et al., 2002).

8. Total phenolic compound (mg/100g fresh weight): was **calculated** by using the Folin–Ciocalteu reagent with some alteration by using gallic acid as a standard curve (El-Mogy et al., 2020).

Statistical analysis: Data of the two seasons were arranged and statistically analyzed using M-static. The comparison among means of the different treatments was determined by using Duncan's test. The data were tabulated and statistically analyzed according to a factorial complete randomized design (Snedecor and Cochran 1982).

weight loss during storage is a consequence of physiological processes such as respiration and transpiration rates. (Abdullah et al., 2023).

Concerning postharvest treatments, data show that exposed head lettuce to ultraviolet radiation 250 nm + 5% 1-MCP proved to be superior in reducing weight loss percentage followed by UV 200 nm and 5% 1-MCP treatment significantly as compared with the other treatments and control. The reducing

weight loss% of head lettuce during storage by using UV-C radiation or 1-MCP treatments may be due to these treatments decreased respiration rate, delayed fruit ripening, and consequently retarded fresh weight loss (Manganaris et al., 2008 and Daiuto et al., 2013).

Table (1). Effect of ultraviolet radiation and 1-methylcyclopropane treatments on weight loss percentage of Iceberg head lettuce during storage at 0 °C and RH 95 % in 2020 and 2021 seasons.

Treatments	Storage period (day)						Mean
	2020						
	0	4	8	12	16	20	
MCP 1.5%	0.00 i	0.29 g	0.73 a	1.75 o	2.23 k	3.46 c	1.41C
MCP 5%	0.00 i	0.28 gh	0.69 b	1.66 q	2.06 i	3.33 d	1.34D
UV 200 nm	0.00 i	0.31 f	0.78 z	1.83 n	2.36 j	3.63 b	1.49B
UV 250 nm	0.00 i	0.28 gh	0.69 b	1.66 q	2.05 l	3.32 d	1.33D
UV 250 + MCP 1.5	0.00 i	0.25 ig	0.63 c	1.32 v	1.59 r	2.98 f	1.13F
UV 250 + MCP 5	0.00 i	0.21 k	0.53 e	0.93 x	1.39 u	2.71 h	0.96H
UV 200 + MCP 1.5	0.00 i	0.26 hi	0.68 b	1.52 s	1.71 p	3.12 e	1.21E
UV 200 + MCP 5	0.00 i	0.23 j	0.59 d	1.17 w	1.49 t	2.89 g	1.06G
Control	0.00 i	0.33 f	0.86 y	1.98 m	2.63 i	3.96 a	1.63A
Mean	0.00F	0.27E	0.69D	1.54C	1.94B	3.27A	
							2021
MCP 1.5%	0.00 n	0.34 h	0.78 a	1.79 o	2.28 k	3.50 c	1.45C
MCP 5%	0.00 n	0.32 i	0.73 b	1.70 q	2.10 l	3.37 d	1.37D
UV 200 nm	0.00 n	0.36 g	0.84 z	1.91 n	2.40 j	3.65 b	1.53B
UV 250 nm	0.00 n	0.32 i	0.73 b	1.69 q	2.10 l	3.37 d	1.37D
UV 250 + MCP 1.5	0.00 n	0.27 k	0.64 d	1.36 v	1.63 r	3.03 f	1.15F
UV 250 + MCP 5	0.00 n	0.23 m	0.56 e	0.98 x	1.41 u	2.76 h	0.99H
UV 200 + MCP 1.5	0.00 n	0.29 j	0.72 b	1.57 s	1.77 p	3.14 e	1.25E
UV 200 + MCP 5	0.00 n	0.25 i	0.66 c	1.21 w	1.52 t	2.93 g	1.10G
Control	0.00 n	0.40 f	0.95 y	2.06 m	2.69 i	4.04 a	1.69A
Mean	0.00F	0.31E	0.73D	1.59C	1.99B	3.31A	

Means values within a column or row having the same letter/s are not significantly different at 5 % level. Storage periods and treatments refer to the specific and interaction effect between them, respectively.

2. Decay score:

Data in **Table (2)** indicated a rise in the degradation decay score during storage period (20 days). In every treatment, the deterioration began gradually and grew till the end of storage. This resulted from alterations that happened to the heads while they were being stored, and it matches the findings of (Ibrahim and Abdullah, 2018).

Data also showed significant differences among all treatments during storage period in both seasons. All treatments were much better in reducing decay score and so longer storage period than control. After 20 days of storage, heads exposed to UV 250 nm + 5% 1-MCP and UV 200 nm + 5% 1-MCP

treatments gave the lowest decay score in both seasons. These results agree with Wiseman et al. (2023) and Watkins, (2008) who reported that 1-MCP can be used as antimicrobial to prevent some of the microorganism's growth and browning of minimally processed lettuce.

Also, use of high dosages of UV-C delaying senescence, inhibited microbial growth and decay, reduces microbial activity during storage and delayed yellowing and chlorophyll degradation (Jiayi and Zhaoxia, 2023). Also, Garzon-Garcia et al. (2020) reported that UV-C might stimulate the activity of lignifying enzymes and enhance the protection against pathogenic invasion.

Table (2). Effect of ultraviolet radiation and 1-methylcyclopropane treatments on decay score of Iceberg head lettuce during storage at 0 °C and RH 95 % in 2020 and 2021 seasons.

Treatments	Storage period (day)						
	2020						
	0	4	8	12	16	20	Mean
MCP 1.5	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.33 fg	2.00 de	1.22C
MCP 5	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.33 fg	1.06D
UV 200	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.33 fg	2.00 de	2.67 bc	1.50B
UV 250	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.33 fg	1.06D
UV 250 + MCP 1.5	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00D
UV 250 + MCP 5	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00D
UV 200 + MCP 1.5	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.33 fg	1.06D
UV 200 + MCP 5	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00 g	1.00D
Control	1.00 g	1.67 ef	2.33 cd	2.33 cd	3.00 b	4.00 a	2.39A
Mean	1.00D	1.07CD	1.15C	1.19C	1.37B	1.74A	
	2021						
MCP 1.5	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.33 ef	2.00 cd	1.22C
MCP 5	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.33 ef	1.06CD
UV 200	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.33 ef	2.00 cd	2.33 bc	1.44B
UV 250	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.33 ef	1.33 ef	1.11CD
UV 250 + MCP 1.5	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.33 ef	1.67 de	1.17CD
UV 250 + MCP 5	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00D
UV 200 + MCP 1.5	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.33 ef	1.06CD
UV 200 + MCP 5	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00D
Control	1.00 f	1.33 ef	2.33 bc	2.67 b	3.67 a	4.00 a	2.50A
Mean	1.00D	1.04D	1.15CD	1.22C	1.52B	1.78A	

Means values within a column or row having the same letter/s are not significantly different at 5 % level. Storage periods and treatments refer to the specific and interaction effect between them, respectively.

3. General appearance score (GA):

Data in Table (3) revealed that after 16 days of storage, the general appearance score fell from exceptional (score 9) to good (score 7), fair (score 5), or bad (score 3). The overall look (score) of the chopped lettuce stem also declined during storage. The decrease in GA of head lettuce during storage may be due to morphological defects, such as dryness of the cut surface, butt discoloration or macroscopic decay (Shehata et al., 2012)

All postharvest treatments had significantly the highest score of appearance as compared with untreated control. However, head lettuce exposed to UV-C 250 nm + 5% 1-MCP and UV-C 200 nm + 5% 1-MCP were the most effective treatments for maintaining GA with no significant differences between them. In general, the interaction between postharvest treatments and storage period was significant in the two seasons. However, UV-C 250 nm + 5% 1-MCP showed the best appearance and gave an excellent appearance at the end of storage.

While UV-C 200 nm + 5% 1-MCP gave good appearance at the same period. On the other hand, control had an unusable appearance at the end of storage.

The quality of lettuce was remarkably retained during storage at 0 °C and could be effectively delayed by treatment with 1-MCP. Therefore, commercial use of 1-MCP may enhance iceberg lettuce quality under suboptimal postharvest conditions (Tian et al., 2011). 1-Methylcyclopropene (1-MCP) has been found to inhibit the action of ethylene and thereby extend the storage life (Wills et al., 2002).

UV-C radiation is effective in delaying senescence and deterioration in fresh processed vegetables by reducing the microbial populations and thereby improving the keeping quality (Allende and Arte's, 2003). Also, UV-C treatment at the dose of 8 kJ m⁻² effectively retarded the occurrence of surface green fading and browning thus, maintaining a good visual appearance in fresh-cut stem lettuce (Han et al., 2021).



Table (3). Effect of ultraviolet radiation and 1-methylcyclopropane treatments on general appearance score of Iceberg head lettuce during storage at 0°C and RH 95 % in 2020 and 2021 seasons.

Treatments	Storage period (day)						
	2020						
	0	4	8	12	16	20	Mean
MCP 1.5	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	7.67 bc	7.00 cd	5.00 fg	7.78D
MCP 5	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	7.67 bc	7.00 cd	5.00 fg	7.78D
UV 200	9.00 a	9.00 a	7.67 bc	6.33 de	5.00 fg	3.67 hi	6.78E
UV 250	9.00 a	9.00 a	8.33 ab	8.33 ab	7.00 cd	5.67 ef	7.89CD
UV 250 + MCP 1.5	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	8.33 ab	7.00 cd	8.56AB
UV 250 + MCP 5	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	8.33 ab	8.89A
UV 200 + MCP 1.5	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	7.00 cd	6.33 de	8.22BC
UV 200 + MCP 5	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	7.67 bc	8.78A
Control	9.00 a	5.67 ef	4.33 gh	4.33 gh	3.00 i	1.00 j	4.56F
Mean	9.00A	8.63B	8.26C	7.82D	6.93E	5.52F	
	2021						
MCP 1.5	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	7.00 cd	6.33 de	5.00 fg	7.56C
MCP 5	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	7.67 bc	6.33 de	5.67 ef	7.78C
UV 200	9.00 a	9.00 a	7.00 cd	6.33 de	5.00 fg	4.33 gh	6.78D
UV 250	9.00 a	9.00 a	8.33 ab	7.00 cd	6.33 de	5.00 fg	7.44C
UV 250 + MCP 1.5	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	7.67 bc	7.00 cd	8.44B
UV 250 + MCP 5	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	8.33 ab	8.89A
UV 200 + MCP 1.5	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	7.00 cd	6.33 de	8.22B
UV 200 + MCP 5	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	8.33 ab	7.00 cd	8.56AB
Control	9.00 a	6.33 de	4.33 gh	3.67 h	1.67 i	1.00 i	4.33E
Mean	9.00A	8.70A	8.19B	7.52C	6.41D	5.52E	

Means values within a column or row having the same letter/s are not significantly different at 5 % level. Storage periods and treatments refer to the specific and interaction effect between them, respectively.

4. Discoloration score:

Data presented in **Table (4)** demonstrate how the lettuce heads' discolorations score gradually dropped as the storage duration lengthened and reached its maximum depression at the conclusion of the storage period. This result agrees with that obtained by Martin-Diana et al. (2008), the brown color development is related primarily to oxidation of phenolic compounds to quinones in ones a reached catalyzed by polyphenol oxidase (PPO) quinones then polymerized to dark brown, black or red polymers.

As for the effect of postharvest treatments on discoloration, score data show that all treatments reduced the incidence of discoloration compared with control. However, UV-C 250 nm + 1.5% 1-MCP and UV-C 250 nm + 5% 1-MCP and UV-C 200 nm + 1.5% 1-MCP and UV-C 250 nm + 5% 1-MCP were the effect treatments in reducing discoloration during storage.

On day-20 in storage, heads exposed to UV 250 nm + 5% 1-MCP and UV-C 200

nm + 5% 1-MCP were the most effective treatments in preventing discoloration. This result might be attributed to the effect 1-MCP in delaying fresh cut lettuce senescence through its effect on metabolic activities and inhibit enzymatic browning (Fan and Mattheis, 2000 and Han et al., 2021) found that lettuce slices exposed to different doses of UV-C exhibited slower surface discoloration than the control during the storage period.

In addition, the application of UV-C radiation has been reported to inhibit tissue browning in several fruit and vegetables (Wang et al., 2019). Han et al. (2021) found that the inhibited tissue browning upon application of UV-C should be mainly attributed to suppressed PAL and PPO activity. According to earlier studies, the UV-C induced inhibition of tissue browning in fresh-cut produce has been correlated with a complex mechanism of these three enzymes.



Table (4). Effect of ultraviolet radiation and 1-methylcyclopropane treatments on discoloration score of Iceberg head lettuce during storage at 0°C and RH 95 % in 2020 and 2021 seasons.

Treatments	Storage period (day)						Mean
	2020						
	0	4	8	12	16	20	
MCP 1.5	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.67 fg	2.33 de	3.00 bc	1.67B
MCP 5	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.33 gh	2.00 ef	2.67 cd	1.50BC
UV 200	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.33 gh	2.67 cd	3.33 b	1.72B
UV 250	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.33 gh	1.67 fg	2.00 ef	1.33CD
UV 250 + MCP 1.5	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.33 gh	2.00 ef	1.22DE
UV 250 + MCP 5	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00E
UV 200 + MCP 1.5	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.33 gh	1.67 fg	1.17DE
UV 200 + MCP 5	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00 h	1.00E
Control	1.00 h	2.00 ef	3.33 b	3.33 b	4.00 a	4.00 a	2.94A
Mean	1.00E	1.11DE	1.26CD	1.44C	1.93B	2.30A	
	2021						
MCP 1.5	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.33 ef	2.33 cd	1.28CD
MCP 5	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.33 ef	1.67 df	2.67 bc	1.44BC
UV 200	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.33 ef	2.67 bc	2.67 bc	1.61B
UV 250	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.33 ef	2.00 ce	2.00 ce	1.39BC
UV 250 + MCP 1.5	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00D
UV 250 + MCP 5	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00D
UV 200 + MCP 1.5	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.67 df	2.00 ce	1.28CD
UV 200 + MCP 5	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00D
Control	1.00 f	2.33 cd	3.33 ab	3.67 a	3.67 a	4.00 a	3.00A
Mean	1.00E	1.15DE	1.26CD	1.41C	1.78B	2.07A	

Means values within a column or row having the same letter/s are not significantly different at 5 % level. Storage periods and treatments refer to the specific and interaction effect between them, respectively.

5. Ascorbic acid content (mg /100g FW):

Data in Table (5) demonstrate that, for several treatments, there were appreciable drops in ascorbic acid content as storage times increased. These findings concur with the findings of (Saltveit, 2004) who suggested that the respiration process reduces vitamin C during storage.

It was also obvious that significant differences among treatments during storage period in both seasons. Heads lettuce exposed to UV-C 250 nm + 5% 1-MCP exhibited highest ascorbic acid content compared with the other treatments and untreated heads. These results agreed with those obtained by Tian et al. (2011).

UV-C or 1-MCP treatments effectively retarded the occurrence of loss of ascorbic acid by reducing respiration and metabolic process, thus maintaining ascorbic acid (Han et al., 2021).

Regarding the interaction between the treatments and storage period, data revealed that heads exposed to UV-C 250 nm + 5% 1-MCP exhibited the highest ascorbic acid content during all storage period compared to all other tested treatments.

6. Total microbial count:

As shown in Table (6), findings show that the microbiological load and storage period had a linear relationship, with an increase in storage duration being correlated with an increase in microbial load. These results agree with Ihl et al. (2003). On the other side, the most effective antimicrobial treatment which diminishes the microbial load was exposed to UV-C at 250 nm and 5% 1-MCP followed by heads exposed to UV-C at 200 nm + 5% 1-MCP with significant differences between them in both seasons. An important aspect of UV-C irradiation is to extend the shelf life by reducing the microbial load of food. UV-C radiation has been shown to cause DNA strand breaks and oxidative damage to lipids in microorganisms and to increase intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels in microorganisms (Sonntage et al., 2023).

Another consideration is the preservation of desirable quality characteristics, which should not be compromised. UV irradiation at postharvest has been shown to play an important role in reducing microorganisms such as *Escherichia coli*, *Listeria pseudomonas*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, and *Listeria monocytogenes* on vegetables

(Santos et al., 2013 and Huang and Chen, 2019).

On the other hand, relatively little has been researched concerning the effects of 1-MCP on disease incidence, compared to its effects on Delayed ripening due to inhibition of ethylene action may increase

the resistance of the commodity to infection. However, small amounts of endogenous ethylene may be necessary to maintain basic levels of resistance to pathogens because of its involvement in regulation of plant defense genes.

Table (5). Effect of ultraviolet radiation and 1-methylcyclopropane treatments on Ascorbic acid content of Iceberg head lettuce during storage at 0°C and RH 95 % in 2020 and 2021 seasons.

Treatments	Storage period (day)						
	2020						
	0	4	8	12	16	20	Mean
MCP 1.5	41.66 a	40.73 ef	39.31 m	38.20 s	37.84 v	37.63 wx	39.23G
MCP 5	41.66 a	40.82 e	39.60 kl	38.51 r	38.03 tu	37.80 v	39.40F
UV 200	41.66 a	40.62 fg	39.13 no	38.02 u	37.51 x	37.21 y	39.03H
UV 250	41.66 a	40.81 e	39.64 k	38.86 p	38.15 st	37.82 v	39.49E
UV 250 + MCP 1.5	41.66 a	41.03 d	40.31 h	39.54 kl	39.03 o	38.21 s	39.96C
UV 250 + MCP 5	41.66 a	41.30 b	40.81 e	40.13 i	39.52 l	38.82 pq	40.38A
UV 200 + MCP 1.5	41.66 a	41.01 d	39.82 j	39.05 o	38.71 q	38.04 tu	39.72D
UV 200 + MCP 5	41.66 a	41.16 c	40.65 f	39.51 l	39.21 mn	38.51 r	40.12B
Control	41.66 a	40.50 g	38.82 pq	37.75 vw	37.13 y	36.81 z	38.78I
Mean	41.66A	40.89B	39.79C	38.84D	38.35E	37.87F	
	2021						
MCP 1.5	41.21 a	39.90 g	39.35 l	38.83 o	38.11 s	37.62 v	39.17F
MCP 5	41.21 a	40.05 f	39.53 j	39.03 n	38.43 q	37.90 tu	39.36E
UV 200	41.21 a	39.63 i	39.02 n	38.51 p	37.85 u	37.32 x	38.93G
UV 250	41.21 a	40.02 f	39.52 j	39.03 n	38.44 q	37.92 t	39.35E
UV 250 + MCP 1.5	41.21 a	40.41 d	40.04 f	39.62 i	39.11 m	38.51 p	39.82C
UV 250 + MCP 5	41.21 a	40.87 b	40.46 d	40.03 f	39.53 j	39.05 n	40.19A
UV 200 + MCP 1.5	41.21 a	40.23 e	39.71 h	39.34 l	38.82 o	38.21 r	39.59D
UV 200 + MCP 5	41.21 a	40.63 c	40.23 e	39.87 g	39.33 l	38.81 o	40.01B
Control	41.21 a	39.42 k	38.80 o	38.22 r	37.45 w	37.02 y	38.69H
Mean	41.21A	40.13B	39.63C	39.16D	38.57E	38.04F	

Means values within a column or row having the same letter/s are not significantly different at 5 % level.

Storage periods and treatments refer to the specific and interaction effect between them, respectively.

Table (6). Effect of ultraviolet radiation and 1-methylcyclopropane treatments on Total microbial count of Iceberg head lettuce during storage at 0°C and RH 95 % in 2020 and 2021 seasons.

Treatments	Storage period (day)						
	2020						
	0	4	8	12	16	20	Mean
MCP 1.5	0.12 r	0.14 q	0.20 no	0.27 jl	0.32 eg	0.37 bc	0.24B
MCP 5	0.12 r	0.14 q	0.19 no	0.26 jl	0.31 fh	0.36 cd	0.23C
UV 200	0.12 r	0.15 q	0.20 n	0.27 jk	0.31 eg	0.39 b	0.24B
UV 250	0.12 r	0.14 q	0.19 no	0.25 kl	0.30 gh	0.36 cd	0.23CD
UV 250 + MCP 1.5	0.12 r	0.14 q	0.18 op	0.25 l	0.29 h	0.32 ef	0.22E
UV 250 + MCP 5	0.12 r	0.13 qr	0.17 p	0.23 m	0.26 j-l	0.26 jl	0.19G
UV 200 + MCP 1.5	0.12 r	0.14 q	0.18 op	0.25 kl	0.29 hi	0.35 d	0.22DE
UV 200 + MCP 5	0.12 r	0.13 qr	0.17 p	0.23 m	0.26 jl	0.29 hi	0.20F
Control	0.12 r	0.15 q	0.20 n	0.27 ij	0.33 e	0.45 a	0.25A
Mean	0.12F	0.14E	0.19D	0.25C	0.30B	0.35A	
	2021						
MCP 1.5	0.14 v	0.21 pq	0.26 km	0.32 i	0.38 d	0.44 b	0.29B
MCP 5	0.14 v	0.19 os	0.23 no	0.27 jk	0.32 hi	0.38 d	0.26C
UV 200	0.14 v	0.22 op	0.28 jk	0.32 hi	0.37 de	0.43 b	0.29B
UV 250	0.14 v	0.18 st	0.19 os	0.28 jk	0.32 hi	0.37 de	0.25D
UV 250 + MCP 1.5	0.14 v	0.17 tu	0.19 rs	0.25 mn	0.28 jk	0.34 gh	0.23E
UV 250 + MCP 5	0.14 v	0.15 uv	0.18 st	0.22 op	0.25 mn	0.27 kl	0.20F
UV 200 + MCP 1.5	0.14 v	0.20pr	0.22 op	0.26 km	0.31 i	0.36 ef	0.25D
UV 200 + MCP 5	0.14 v	0.15 uv	0.18 st	0.22 op	0.25 lm	0.29 j	0.21F
Control	0.14 v	0.22 op	0.29 j	0.35 fg	0.41 c	0.47 a	0.31A
Mean	0.14F	0.19E	0.22D	0.28C	0.32B	0.37A	

Means values within a column or row having the same letter/s are not significantly different at 5 % level.

Storage periods and treatments refer to the specific and interaction effect between them, respectively.

7. Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activity:

Data in **Table (7)** demonstrate that when the storage period was increased, there was a noticeable rise in polyphenol oxidase activity. These results agree with those obtained by (Shehata et al., 2012). The increase of polyphenol oxidase activity in control treatment is mainly due to activation process from latent to fully active form. In fact, as previously reported by Cantos et al. (2001) tissue wounding involves the decompartmentalization of cellular components with the subsequent release of proteases involving a cascade of reactions leading to the activation of latent PPO. For the tested treatments, data

Table (7). Effect of ultraviolet radiation and 1-methylcyclopropane treatments on Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activity of Iceberg head lettuce during storage at 0°C and RH 95 % in 2020 and 2021 seasons.

Treatments	Storage period (day)						
	2020						
	0	4	8	12	16	20	Mean
MCP 1.5	0.32 w	0.37 qw	0.44 ko	0.52 hi	0.58 fg	0.72 c	0.49C
MCP 5	0.32 w	0.36 rw	0.42 lq	0.47 ik	0.54 gh	0.67 de	0.46D
UV 200	0.32 w	0.38 pu	0.46 jl	0.58 fg	0.62 ef	0.78 b	0.52B
UV 250	0.32 w	0.36 rw	0.42 lp	0.48 ik	0.54 gh	0.67 d	0.46D
UV 250 + MCP 1.5	0.32 w	0.34 tw	0.39 ot	0.42 lp	0.48 ik	0.58 fg	0.42E
UV 250 + MCP 5	0.32 w	0.32 vw	0.35 sw	0.37 pv	0.41 lq	0.48 ik	0.38G
UV 200 + MCP 1.5	0.32 w	0.35 sw	0.40 mr	0.45 km	0.50 hj	0.63 df	0.44E
UV 200 + MCP 5	0.32 w	0.33 uw	0.37 qw	0.39 ns	0.44 kn	0.53 gh	0.40F
Control	0.32 w	0.40 ms	0.51 hj	0.65 de	0.77 bc	0.89 a	0.59A
Mean	0.32F	0.36E	0.42D	0.48C	0.54B	0.66A	
	2021						
MCP 1.5	0.34 w	0.43 ps	0.54 lm	0.63 hj	0.72 ef	0.78 cd	0.57C
MCP 5	0.34 w	0.42 qu	0.50 mo	0.57 kl	0.65 gh	0.69 fg	0.53D
UV 200	0.34 w	0.46 oq	0.58 jl	0.67 gh	0.78 cd	0.83 bc	0.61B
UV 250	0.34 w	0.42 qt	0.51 mo	0.57 kl	0.65 gh	0.68 fg	0.53D
UV 250 + MCP 1.5	0.34 w	0.38 sw	0.43 ps	0.48 np	0.50 mo	0.57 kl	0.45F
UV 250 + MCP 5	0.34 w	0.35 vw	0.37 tw	0.41 ru	0.44 pr	0.47 op	0.40H
UV 200 + MCP 1.5	0.34 w	0.40 rv	0.46 oq	0.53 ln	0.59 ik	0.64 gi	0.49E
UV 200 + MCP 5	0.34 w	0.37 uw	0.40 ru	0.45 pr	0.46 oq	0.51 mo	0.42G
Control	0.34 w	0.48 np	0.63 hj	0.74 de	0.84 b	0.97 a	0.67A
Mean	0.34F	0.41E	0.49D	0.56C	0.63B	0.68A	

Means values within a column or row having the same letter/s are not significantly different at 5 % level. Storage periods and treatments refer to the specific and interaction effect between them, respectively.

8. Total phenolic compound:

Data in **Table (8)** showed that total phenolic compound of lettuce heads decreased with the prolongation of storage till the end of storage period in both seasons; these results are similar with (Abdullah et al., 2023).

Concerning the effect of postharvest treatments, data indicated that there were significant differences between all

showed that lettuce heads exposed to UV-C 250 nm + 5% 1-MCP significantly reduced the activity of polyphenol oxidase activity during storage if compared with other treatments and untreated control.

Lettuce senescence comes from our findings that 1-MCP may inhibit the oxidation of polyphenols into quinines, prevent leaf browning, and lower soluble sugar and protein levels in lettuce. (Tay and Perera, 2004). Han et al. (2021) found That UV-C light treatment significantly reduced PPO activity of lettuce during storage.

treatments and clear observed that all treatments maintained phenolic compounds during storage period with little changes in their contents, especially for heads exposed to UV-C 250 nm + 5% 1-MCP which show the lowest decreasing rates followed by heads exposed to UV-C 200 nm + 5% 1-MCP with significant differences between them. While untreated control lettuce heads had a significantly

greater decrease. The same results agreed with (Tedeschi et al., 2023 and Tian et al., 2011) these results may be due 1-Methylcyclopropene reduce the oxygen supply for enzymatic oxidation of phenols.

Saltveit (2004) found that the effectiveness of 1-MCP in inhibiting ethylene-induced accumulation of phenolic compounds in mid-rib lettuce tissue does not extend to the wound-induced

accumulation of phenolic compounds in similar tissue. Furthermore, many studies have indicated that UV-C treatment can act independently or synergistically to induce the biosynthesis and accumulation of health-promoting compounds (phenolic compounds, carotenoids and fatty acids) and trigger the enhancement of enzymatic antioxidant defense system in fresh-cut produce (Surjadinata et al., 2017).

Table (8). Effect of Ultraviolet radiation and 1-methylcyclopropane treatments on Total phenolic compound of Iceberg head lettuce during storage at 0 °C and RH 95 % in 2020 and 2021 seasons.

Treatments	Storage period (day)						
	2020						
	0	4	8	12	16	20	Mean
MCP 1.5	28.13 a	27.10 e	26.52 i	26.22 kl	25.81 n	25.54 o	26.55E
MCP 5	28.13 a	27.34 d	26.82 gh	26.51 i	26.12 lm	25.81 n	26.79D
UV 200	28.13 a	26.83 gh	26.21 l	25.87 n	25.89 n	25.21 p	26.36F
UV 250	28.13 a	27.35 d	26.82 gh	26.51 i	26.12 lm	25.82 n	26.79D
UV 250 + MCP 1.5	28.13 a	27.70 b	27.11 e	26.83 gh	26.43 ij	26.12 lm	27.05C
UV 250 + MCP 5	28.13 a	28.02 a	27.51 c	27.15 e	26.91 fg	26.52 i	27.37A
UV 200 + MCP 1.5	28.13 a	27.33 d	26.82 gh	26.52 i	26.14 lm	25.82 n	26.79D
UV 200 + MCP 5	28.13 a	27.83 b	27.32 d	27.03 ef	26.73 h	26.34 jk	27.23B
Control	28.13 a	26.55 i	26.03 m	25.65 o	25.32 p	25.00 q	26.11G
Mean	28.13A	27.34B	26.79C	26.48D	26.16E	25.80F	
	2021						
MCP 1.5	27.96 a	26.86 g	26.53 j	26.12 p	25.75 t	25.24 w	26.41E
MCP 5	27.96 a	27.12 e	26.85 g	26.42 kl	26.03 o	25.54 u	26.65D
UV 200	27.96 a	26.62 i	26.20 o	25.83 s	25.42 r	25.02 y	26.17F
UV 250	27.96 a	27.15 e	26.81 g	26.43 kl	26.05 q	25.54 u	26.65D
UV 250 + MCP 1.5	27.96 a	27.41 c	27.14 e	26.71 h	26.31 n	25.84 s	26.89C
UV 250 + MCP 5	27.96 a	27.65 b	27.35 cd	27.04 f	26.69 h	26.35 mn	27.17A
UV 200 + MCP 1.5	27.96 a	27.14 e	26.82 g	26.44 k	26.06 po	25.53 u	26.66D
UV 200 + MCP 5	27.96 a	27.62 b	27.30 d	27.01 f	26.65 hi	26.11 p	27.11B
Control	27.96 a	26.37 lm	25.91 r	25.54 u	25.11 x	24.74 z	25.94G
Mean	27.96A	27.10B	26.77C	26.39D	26.01E	25.54F	

Means values within a column or row having the same letter/s are not significantly different at 5 % level. Storage periods and treatments refer to the specific and interaction effect between them, respectively.

CONCLUSION

From the previous results, it could be concluded that, Iceberg lettuce heads exposed to ultraviolet radiation 250 nm + 5% 1-Methylcyclopropene showed the best appearance and maintaining postharvest

quality and didn't exhibit any changes in their appearance and gave excellent appearance at the end of storage period (20 days at 0 °C), while 200 nm + 5% 1-Methylcyclopropene treatment gave good appearance at the same period.

REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (2000) *Official methods of analysis of AOAC International.17th edition*. Gaithersburg, MD, USA, Association of Analytical Communities.
- Abdullah, M., Ei-Yazied, A., El-Mogy, M., Abdeldaym, E., Abdelaziz, S., Abdelaal, K. and Ibrahim, H. (2023). extending the shelf-life of lettuce heads by dipping in phytic acid, cysteine, methionine and ascorbic acid during cold storage. *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, 31:304-316.
- Allende, A. and Arte's, F. (2003). UV-C radiation as a novel technique for

- keeping quality of fresh processed 'Lollo Rosso' lettuce. *Food Research International*, 36:739-746.
- Anese, M.M. and Nicoli, M.C. (1997). Quality of minimally processed apple slice using different modified atmosphere conditions. *J. Food Quality*, 20:359-370.
- Cantos, E., Espin, J.C. and Tomas-Barberan, F.A. (2001). Effect of wounding on phenolic enzyme in six minimally processed lettuce upon storage. *Journal of agricultural and food Chemistry*, 49:322-330.
- Chandra D., Matsui, T. Suzuki, H. Kosugi, Y. Fujimura, K. and Bhowmik, P. K. (2010). Textural and compositional changes of stored iceberg lettuce in relation to harvest season and storage condition. *Int. J. Veg. Sci.*, 16:44-59.
- Daiuto, E. R., Vieites, R. L. Remocoldi, M. A. Carvalho L. R. and Fumes, J. G. F. (2013). Postharvest of 'Hass' avocados submitted to UV-C radiation. *Rev Colomb Mag Hortíc.*, 7(2):149-160.
- Dogan, M., Aslan, O. and Dogan, S. (2002). Substrate specificity, heat inactivation and inhabitation of polyphenol Oxidase from different augbergine cultivars. *Intern. J. Food Sci. and techno*, 37:415-423.
- El-Ghaouth, A., Wilson, C.L. and Callaha, A. (2003). Induction of chitinase, beta1,3 glucanase and phenylalanine ammonia lyase in peach fruit by UV-C treatment. *Phytopathology*, 93:349-355.
- El-Mogy, M. M., Parmar, A. Ali, M. R. Abdel-Aziz, M. E. and Abdeldaym, E.A. (2020). Improving postharvest storage of fresh artichoke bottoms by an edible coating of *Cordia myxa* gum. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.*, 163:111143.
- Fan, X. and Mattheis, J. P. (2000). Reduction of ethylene-induced physiological disorders of carrots and iceberg lettuce by 1-methylcyclopropene. *Hort. Sci.*, 35:1312-1314.
- Garzon-Garcia, A. M., Ruiz-Cruz, S., Márquez-Ríos, E., Dussán-Sarria, S., Hleap-Zapata, J.I. and Lobatón, H. F. (2020). Computational fluid dynamics as a technique for the UV-C light dose determination in horticultural products. *Biocencia*, 22(1):84-92.
- Han, C., Z.Wenna, C. Qingmin, and F. Maorun, (2021). UV-C irradiation inhibits surface discoloration and delays quality degradation of fresh-cut stem lettuce. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, 147,111533.
- Huang, R. and Chen, H. (2019). Comparison of Water-Assisted Decontamination Systems of Pulsed Light and Ultraviolet for Salmonella Inactivation on Blueberry, Tomato, and Lettuce. *J. Food Sci.*, 84 (5)1145-1150.
- Ibrahim, H. A. and Abdullah, M. A. A. (2018). Effects of 1-Methylcyclopropane on Quality of Tomato and Sweet Pepper Fruits during Mixed Loads. *Bioscience Research*, 15(1):270-279.
- Ihl, M., L. Aravena, E. Scheuermann, E. Uquiche and Bifani, V. (2003). Effect of immersion solutions on shelf-life of minimally processed lettuce. *Lebensm. Wiss. U.-Technol.*, 36:591-599.
- Jiayi, W. and Zhaoxia, W. U. (2023). Minimal processing of produce using a combination of UV-C irradiation and ultrasound-assisted washing, *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, 182:114901.
- Jimenez, M., Trejo, E. and Cantwell, M. (1998). Postharvest quality changes in green beans. *Research Report*, UC Davis, Cooperative Extension Service No. pp9.
- Karrayan, D. and Aydemir, T. (2001). Partial purification and characterization of polyphenol oxidase from peppermint (*Mentha piperita*). *Food Chemistry*, 74(2):147-154.
- Manganaris, G. A., Crisosto, C. H. Bremer, V. and Holcroft, D. (2008). Novel 1-methylcyclopropene immersion formulation extends shelf-

- life of advanced maturity 'Joanna Red' plums (*Prunus salicina* Lindell). *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 47:429-433.
- Martin-Diana, A. B., Rico, D. and Barry-Ryan, C. (2008). Green tea is extracted as a natural antioxidant to extend the shelf-life of fresh cut lettuce. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, 9(4):593-603.
- Mercier, J., Roussel, D., Charles, M. T. and Arul, J. (2000). Systemic and local responses associated with UV- and pathogen-induced resistance to *Botrytis cinerea* in stored carrots. *Phytopathology*, 90: 981-986.
- Saltveit, M. E. (2004). Effect of 1-methylcyclopropene on phenylpropanoid metabolism, the accumulation of phenolic compounds, and browning of whole and fresh-cut 'iceberg' lettuce. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 34:75-80.
- Santos, A. L., Oliveira, V. Baptista, I. Henriques, I., Gomes, N. C. M., Almeida, A. Correia, A. and Cunha, A. (2013). Wavelength Dependence of Biological Damage Induced by UV Radiation on Bacteria. *Arch. Microbiol*, 195(1):63-74.
- Shehata, S. A., El-Sheikh, T. M. Mohamed, M. E. I. and Saleh, M. A. (2012). Effect of Some Pre- and Postharvest treatments on Browning Inhibition in Fresh Cut Lettuce during Cold Storage. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 8(1):25-33.
- Snedecor, C.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1982). *Statistical Methods*. 7th Ed. The Iowa state Univ. Press. Ames. Iowa, USA, PP:325-330.
- Sonntag, F., Liu, H. and Neugart, S. (2023). Nutritional and Physiological Effects of Postharvest UV Radiation on Vegetables: A Review, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 71(26) :9951-9972.
- Surjadinata, B. B., Jacobo-Velazquez, D.A. and Cisneros-Zevallos, L. (2017). UVA, UVB and UVC light enhance the biosynthesis of phenolic antioxidants in fresh-cut carrot through a synergistic effect with wounding. *Molecules*, 22:668.
- Tay, S. L. and Perera, C.O. (2004). Effect of 1-methylcyclopropene treatment and edible coatings on the quality of minimally processed lettuce. *J. Food Sci.*, 69:131-135.
- Tedeschi, P., Marzocchi, S., Marchetti, N., Barba, F.J. and Maietti, A. (2023). Influence of post-harvest 1-Methylcyclopropene (1-MCP) Treatment and Refrigeration on Chemical Composition, Phenolic Profile and Antioxidant Modifications during Storage of Abate Fétel Pears. *Antioxidants*, 12: 1955.
- Tian, W., Lv. Y., Cao. J. and Jiang, W. (2011). Retention of iceberg lettuce quality by low temperature storage and postharvest application of 1-methylcyclopropene or gibberellic acid. *J. Food Sci Technol*, 51(5):943-949.
- Wang, D., Chen, L., Ma, Y., Jiang, Y., Yun J. and Li, W. (2019). Effect of UV-C treatment on the quality of fresh-cut lotus (*Nelumbo nucifera* Gaertn.) root. *Food Chemistry*, 278:659-664.
- Watkins, C. B. (2008). Overview of 1-Methylcyclopropene trials and uses for edible horticultural crops. *Hort. Science*, 43:86-94.
- Wills, R.B.H., Ku, V.V.V. and Warton, M. A. (2002). Use of 1-Methylcyclopropene to Extend the Postharvest Life of Lettuce. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 82:1253-1255.
- Wiseman, B. J., Paull, R. E., Lincoln, N. K. and Wall, M. M. (2023). 1-Methylcyclopropene and Harvest Maturity Impact 'Ma'afala' Breadfruit Postharvest Storage. *Hort. Science*, 58(6):666-670.

الملخص العربى

تأثير الاشعة فوق البنفسجية والمثيل سيكلو بروبان على الجودة والقدرة التخزينية لرؤس الخس الكابوتشا اثناء التخزين المبرد.

محمد أحمد أحمد عبدالله و صفاء زكريا

قسم بحوث تداول الخضار معهد بحوث البساتين مركز البحوث الزراعية الجيزة مصر

أجريت هذه الدراسة على رؤس الخس صنف ايس بيرج خلال موسمى 2020 و 2021 لدراسة تأثير تعرض رؤس الخس للأشعة فوق البنفسجية بتركيز 200 و 250 نانوميتر و 1- مثيل سيكلو بروبان بتركيز 1.5 - 5 % والتداخل بينهم بجانب الرؤس الغير معاملة (كنترول) على الجودة والقدرة التخزينية لرؤس الخس خلال التخزين على صفر ° م ورطوبه نسبيه 90-95% لمدة 20 يوم. أوضحت النتائج أن كل معاملات ما بعد الحصاد قد حافظت على جودة رؤس الخس مقارنة بمعاملة الكنترول أثناء التخزين. مع ذلك، معاملة التعرض للأشعة فوق البنفسجية بجرعة 250 نانوميتر مع 1- الميثيل سيكلو بروبان تركيز 5 % (شيت) ومعاملة التعرض للأشعة فوق البنفسجية بجرعة 200 نانوميتر مع 1- الميثيل سيكلو بروبان تركيز 5 % (شيت) كانت الأكثر فاعلية في تقليل نسبة الفقد فى الوزن والتالف والحمل الميكروبي وتعير اللون مع الحفاظ على الجودة المظهرية ومحتوى حمض الأسكوربيك والمحتوى الفينولي الكلي علاوة على ذلك، أدت المعاملات الى تأخير نشاط انزيم البولي فينول أوكسيديز مقارنة بباقي المعاملات. كما لوحظ أن رؤس الخس المعاملة بالتعرض للأشعة فوق البنفسجية بجرعة 250 نانوميتر مع 1- الميثيل سيكلو بروبان تركيز 5% (شيت) أعطت مظهرًا ممتازاً والمعاملة بالتعرض للأشعة فوق البنفسجية بجرعة 200 نانوميتر مع 1- الميثيل سيكلو بروبان تركيز 5% (شيت) وأعطت مظهرًا جيدًا لمدة 20 يوم من التخزين على درجة صفر °م.